RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
OCT 16 2003

<u>REMARKS</u>

The disclosure was objected to because of informalities. In response, Applicant has changed "assay 60" to read "assay 58."

Claim 7 was rejected under U.S.C. 112. In response, claim 7 has been cancelled.

Claims 1-8 and 12-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guard (4,102,513) in view of Cedillo (5,868,334). Applicant has cancelled claims 1-8 and claims 12-14.

Claims 9-11 and 15-16 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant believes claims are now allowable as they have been rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant thanks the examiner for the allowance of this subject matter.

A petition for any and all extensions of time are hereby made and authorization to charge deposit account 500356 is hereby given for all fees due and owing.

Respectfully submitted,

RECEIVED OCT 2 5 2006

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 83700

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Reg. No. 47,312

Oct. 16. 2006 6:14PM Greenberg & Lieberma

NRECEIVED 8
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 16 2003

CERTIFIED MAIL

I hereby certify that this amendment and response was electronically submitted to the Commissioner of Patents at the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 16, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Registration Number 47,312

RECEIVED OCT 2 5 2006

TECHNOLOGY CENTER ROTCO